IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: : Group Art Unit: 2176

Faisal M. Awada et al. : Examiner James J. Debrow

Serial No: 10/660,072 : Confirmation No. 6181

Filed: 9/11/2003 :

Title: WORLD WIDE WEB :

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM :

WITH A PLURALITY OF ALTERNATE :

USER-INTERFACE FORMATS : Customer No. 32,329

SELECTIVELY AVAILABLE FOR :

EACH BOOKMARKED WEB DOCUMENT :

Dated: 10/09/08 :

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR BRIEF ON APPEAL
AND FEE WAIVER REQUEST

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A waiver of the Appeal fee is respectfully requested since Appellants have previously paid an Appeal fee for an Appeal from a first final rejection herein dated March 28, 2007 which was subsequently withdrawn by U. S. Patent Office. Should there be any additional charge herein, please charge Deposit Account 09-0447.

Respectfully submitted,

J. B. Kraft Actorney for Applicants Registration No. 19,226

(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Diana R. Gerhardt IPLaw Dept. IBM Corporation 11400 Burnet Road Austin, Texas 78758 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: : Group Art Unit: 2176

Faisal M. Awada et al. : Examiner James J. Debrow

Serial No: 10/660,072 : Confirmation No. 6181

Filed: 9/11/2003 :

Title: WORLD WIDE WEB :

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM :

WITH A PLURALITY OF ALTERNATE :

USER-INTERFACE FORMATS : Customer No. 32,329

SELECTIVELY AVAILABLE FOR : EACH BOOKMARKED WEB DOCUMENT :

Dated: 10/09/08

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an Appeal from the Final Rejection of Claims 1-14, and 221-26 of this Application dated May 14, 2008. Section VIII. Appendix containing a copy of each of the Claims is attached.

I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation, the assignee of the present Application.

II. Related Appeals and Interferences None

III. Status of Claims

A. TOTAL NUMBER OF CLAIMS IN APPLICATION

There are 20 claims in this Application.

B. STATUS OF ALL THE CLAIMS

- 1. Claims cancelled: 15-20
- 2. Claims withdrawn from consideration but not cancelled: none.
- 3. Claims pending: 1-14, and 21-26.
- 4. Claims allowed: None.
- 5. Claims rejected: 1-14, and 21-26.

C. CLAIMS ON APPEAL

Claims on appeal: 1-14, and 21-26.

IV. Status of Amendments

An Amendment was filed after Final Rejection was filed on August 18, 2008 but none of the claims have been changed. The purpose of the Amendment was to overcome an Objection to the Specification, and to correct a labelling of claim 14 (no effect on claim language. In an Advisory Action dated September 21, 2008, Examiner withdrew the objections.

V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

Claim 1 is annotated as follows with respect to the Specification and Drawings.

1. In a World Wide Web (Web) communication network [Fig. 2, Web 50 described on page 9, lines 14-20 of the specification] with user access via a plurality of data processor controlled interactive receiving Web display stations [Fig 2, display terminals 57 described on page 9 lines 17-20] for displaying, in a standard display interface format [Web page 63, Fig. 3 described on page 10, lines 20-25], received hypertext Web documents of at least one display page containing text and images accessible from sources on the Web [Web page resources 60, 62, Fig. 2 described on page 10, lines 1-3] and hyperlinks to other Web documents, a system for selectively customizing the display interface format of Web documents bookmarked at a receiving display station comprising:

means for bookmarking a received Web document [description on page 6, lines 28-33 referring to user interface functions by devices 24, 26, 22 in Fig. 1; also standard bookmarking described on page 10, line 23 - page 11, line 1 referring to Web document 63, Fig. 4];

means for predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents [described page 11, lines 1-11 referring to alternate format folders, CBF1 and CBF2, Fig. 4];

a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format [customized bookmark folders (CBF1 and CBF2), Fig. 4 described on page 11, lines 4-14];

means, responsive to said bookmarking means, for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format [page 10, line 29 - page 11, line 11 describes drop down menu 68, Fig. 4 through which user may select a folder to thereby give the Web document the alternate format]; and

means for displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format [description on page 11, lines 12-14 referring to the display of Web documents in the customized format associated with the CBF (customized bookmarked folder), Fig. 4].

Claim 8 is annotated as follows with respect to the Specification and Drawings.

8. In a World Wide Web (Web) communication network [Fig. 2, Web 50 described on page 9, lines 14-20 of the specification] with user access via a plurality of data processor controlled interactive receiving Web display stations [Fig 2, display terminals 57 described on page 9 lines 17-20] for displaying, in a standard display interface format [Web page 63, Fig. 3 described on page 10, lines 20-25], received hypertext Web documents of at least one display page containing text and images accessible from sources [Web page resources 60, 62, Fig. 2 described on page 10 on the Web] and hyperlinks to other Web documents, a method for selectively customizing the display interface format of Web documents bookmarked at a receiving display station comprising:

bookmarking a received Web document [description on page 6, lines 28-33 referring to user interface functions by devices 24, 26, 22 in Fig. 1; also standard bookmarking described on page 10, line 23 - page 11, line 1 referring to Web document 63, Fig. 4];

predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents [described page 11, lines 1-11 referring to alternate format folders, CBF1 and CBF2, Fig. 4];

providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said

alternate display interface format [customized bookmark folders (CBF1 and CBF2), Fig. 4 described on page 11, lines 4-14];

enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format [page 10, line 29 - page 11, line 11 describes drop down menu 68, Fig. 4 through which user may select a folder to thereby give the Web document the alternate format]; and

displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format [description on page 11, lines 12-14 referring to the display of Web documents in the customized format associated with the CBF (customized bookmarked folder), Fig. 4].

Claim 21 is annotated as follows with respect to the Specification and Drawings.

A computer readable medium [with reference to Fig. 1, page 6, lines 18 describes a computer readable medium such as RAM 14 on which the application program 40 of this invention is stored] having stored thereon, a computer program for selectively customizing the display interface format of Web documents bookmarked at a receiving display station in a World Wide Web (Web) communication network [Fig. 2, Web 50 described on page 9, lines 14-20 of the specification] with user access via a plurality of data processor controlled interactive receiving Web display stations [Fig 2, display terminals 57 described on page 9 lines 17-20] for displaying, in a standard display interface format [Web page 63, Fig. 3 described on page 10, lines 20-25], received hypertext Web documents of at least one display page containing text and images accessible from sources on the Web [Web page resources 60, 62, Fig. 2 described on page 10 on the Web] and hyperlinks to other Web documents, wherein the computer program [with respect to Fig. 6, the computer program is described generally from page 11, line 24 to page 12, line 27, referring to steps 71-77] when executed on a computer causes the computer to:

bookmark a received Web document [page 11, line 30 - page 12, line 10 with respect to step 71, Fig. 6];

predetermine at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents [page 12, lines 10-12, with respect to step 72, Fig. 6];

provide a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format [page 12, lines 13-15, with respect to step 73, Fig. 6];

enable a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format [page 12, lines 15-17, with respect to step 74, Fig. 6]; and

display bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format [page 12, lines 17-24, with respect to step 76, Fig. 6].

VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

Claims 1-14, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. (US6,640,038), in view of Kendall et al. (US2005/0193053), further in view of Burke (US6,032,162), and still further in view of Ferguson et al. (US6,810,404).

VII. Argument

Claims 1-14 and 21-26 are unobvious, and thus patentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Khan et al. (US6,640,038) (hereinafter Khan), in view of Kendall et al. (US2005/0193053) (hereinafter Kendall) further in view of Burke (US6,032,162), and still further in view of Ferguson et al. (US6,810,404).

All of the claims in the present invention have been rejected as obvious under 35 USC 103(a) based upon the combination of four references. It is a basic principle in Patent Law that a combination of references must be made with the foresight based upon a suggestions coming from the references themselves rather with hindsight based upon Applicants own teaching. The fact that Examiner has had to go to four references has an implication that Examiner has picked and combined selected elements from each of the four references without foresight coming from the references but rather with hindsight based upon Applicants' own teaching.

It is further submitted, as will be hereinafter shown, that even if the teachings selected from the four references could be combined as suggested by Examiner, the combination would still not render the present claimed invention obvious in view of the combination of references under 35 USC 103.

Review of the Claimed Invention

To review the present claimed invention, Web documents are conventionally presented to the receiving user in a standard all-purpose display interface that serves as a default interface. The user may need to present different types of regularly accessed, i.e. bookmarked, Web documents in different formats. The present invention provides the

user at a receiving Web station with a plurality of alternate Web page display interface formats from which the user may select the appropriate format for each Web document that he bookmarks. Thus, each time that a bookmarked Web document will be subsequently displayed, it will have this optimum presentation interface format.

The claimed invention implements the plurality of formats by providing a plurality of folders with each respective document folder associated a corresponding alternate display interface format. The user is enabled to put a bookmarked Web document into a selected document folder associated with an alternate display interface format. Each respective document folder provides each Web document put into the folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining the alternate display interface format.

The Combination of Four References

The combination of the four references; Khan, Kendall, Burke, and Ferguson does not disclose or suggest the combination of elements claimed in the present invention. In order to simplify the issues in this prosecution, Applicants will hereinafter discuss the combination of references and extract what the Examiner and Appellant do agree with respect to each reference and the combination of references.

Kahn

Applicants and Examiner agree that Kahn only teaches the claimed element that received Web documents may be bookmarked.

Kendall

Applicants and Examiner agree that Kendall discloses storing received Web documents in alternate formats. Thus, Applicants and Examiner may be said to agree that the combination of Khan and Kendall discloses that received bookmarked Web documents may be selectively stored by a user in alternate formats.

However, Examiner and Applicants Agree that the Combination of Khan and Kendall Do Not Disclose the Claimed Elements:

"....a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said <u>document folder providing</u> each Web document put into said <u>folder</u> with a set of predetermined characteristics and values <u>defining said</u> alternate display interface format;

means, responsive to said bookmarking means, for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

means for <u>displaying</u> bookmarked <u>documents in said</u> <u>document folder in said alternate</u> display interface <u>format</u>."

Burke

The Examiner looks to the Burke patent to make up for these deficiencies in his combination of Kahn and Kendall. Applicants take issue with Examiner and submit that the combination with Burke fails to render the claimed invention

obvious for the following reasons.

- The Examiner's combination of Khan and Kendall with Burke is not made with the required foresight based upon a suggestion coming from the references themselves but rather with hindsight based upon Applicants own teaching. Such hindsight does not provide a proper basis for a combination of references.
- Even if the proposed combination with Burke could be made, there would still not be a teaching of the invention because, if anything, the teaching of Burke leads away from the present invention.

There is nothing in either Khan or Kendall to suggest to one skilled in the art that a user may select an alternate format for a received Web document by putting the received Web document into a folder which would provide the selected alternate format. Neither of these two basic references indicates any function related to folders. Applicants fail to find a mention of folders in either Kahn or Kendall for any purpose. Thus, the only suggestion that Examiner look to Burke must have come from Applicants' own teaching.

However, even if Burke were to be combined with the basic references, there would still not be a teaching of the present invention. Burke discloses a facility for the organization and storage of a user's (subscriber's) bookmarks at facility remote from the user. Burke's facility is intended to organize and categorize the bookmarks and store the bookmarks in files and folders in many categories based upon a wide variety of bookmark

attributes, e.g. mainly on themes and topics but including display attributes.

However, it is important to note that in col 5, lines 26-28, Burke states that the file or folder selected for the bookmark is sorted based upon:

"....by an attribute <u>previously allocated</u> to the bookmarks by controller 30 in terminal 10." (underlining added)

This is a critical distinction because in the present claimed invention the display attributes of the Web document results from putting the bookmarked page or document into the folder i.e. the placement of the page into the folder will result in the allocation of the alternate display attributes to the page.

All Burke discloses is assigning a bookmarked document to a folder based upon previously allocated i.e. already existing attributes. The placement of the document into the folder in Burke does not cause the display attributes as in the present claimed invention. Accordingly, Examiner (commencing with last two lines on page 5, Official Action) and Applicants agree that the combination of Kahn, Kendall, and Burke still does not disclose:

"a document folder associated with each alternate display format, said folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format."

Ferguson

Examiner looks to this final of the four references to make up for the above deficiency in the three references. However, Ferguson does not teach any element of this invention which has not already been disclosed by Burke. Like Burke, all Ferguson discloses is assigning a bookmarked document i.e. in the form of an STG file to a folder based upon previously allocated i.e. already existing attributes of the STG document file. The placement of the STG document file into a folder in Ferguson does has no affect whatsoever on the attributes of the document file, and certainly does not cause the document to take on any display attributes as claimed by the present claimed invention.

A complete reading of Ferguson makes this deficiency in the Ferguson disclosure very clear. For example, in Ferguson:

- Col. 4, lines 60-65 sets forth that one STG data storage file is created for each new or modified document.
- Col. 6, lines 40-45 sets forth that one such STG file is created for each bookmarked document.
- Col. 7, lines 14-24 sets forth that the Ferguson's "smart" folders are organized so that each folder has defined criteria for the STG document files to be placed into the folder. The smart folders in Ferguson then search for STG document files which already have the criteria or attributes required for the document to be put or linked into the folder. Thus, like Burke, all Ferguson discloses is assigning a bookmarked document i.e. in the form of an

STG document file to a folder based upon previously allocated i.e. already existing attributes of the STG document file.

In trying to find support for his interpretation of the teaching of Ferguson, Examiner cites column 8. However, before we discuss the Examiner's citation in Ferguson, Applicants refer Examiner to the following at column 8, lines, 5-12 of Ferguson where it is again set forth that a smart folder searches for STG document files which have the criteria or attributes required by the smart folder, and the STG documents are assigned to the smart folder based upon the already existing attributes of the document.

Examiner cites column 8, lines 55-65 in Ferguson. This section, when read in the light of the entire Ferguson disclosure, does not suggest changing the displayed format of any STG documents in a smart folder. This section sets forth that the smart folder can perform some administrative tasks with respect to the STG documents in the folder such e-mailing functions. Also included in the administrative tasks by the smart folder is the display of folder updates such as the listing of added or deleted STG documents in the folder. This has nothing to do with the display of the actual documents in the folder.

Finally, Examiner cites column 10, lines 24-29 in Ferguson. Here again, Ferguson reinforces the conclusion that his STG documents are placed into folders based upon the existing attributes of the documents, and the folders have no effect whatsoever on any document attributes.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that in order to argue that the present claimed invention is obvious, Examiner has combined the Kahn, Kendall, Burke, and Ferguson not in the light of what would have been obvious with the foresight of one skilled of the art, but rather with hindsight based upon Applicants' own teaching. This is not a valid basis for an obviousness rejection under 35 USC 103. It is submitted that Examiner has used the present Applications' disclosure as a guideline, and then picked and combined elements from each of the four respective references based solely of Applicants' own teaching.

"To imbue one of ordinary skill in the art with knowledge of the invention in suit, when no prior art references of record convey nor suggest that knowledge, is to fall victim to the insidious effect of a hindsight syndrome wherein that which only the inventor taught is used against its teacher." W. L. Gore, 721 F 2d at 1553, 220 USPQ, pp. 312-313.

"One cannot use hindsight reconstruction to pick and choose among isolated disclosures in the prior art to deprecate the claimed invention." <u>In</u> re Fine, 5 USPQ 2d 1596 (C.A.F.C.) 1988.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the suggestion for combining the four references: Kahn, Kendall, Burke and Ferguson in the manner proposed by the Examiner could only be based, with hindsight on Applicants' own teaching, and, thus, cannot provide any valid basis for a combination of references in a rejection under 35 USC 103 based upon obviousness.

It is further submitted that even if the teaching of the four references could be combined, the combination would still not suggest the claimed invention because both the modifying Burke and Ferguson references each fail to suggest a folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format.

All <u>Burke</u>, <u>and Ferguson</u> disclose is assigning a document to a <u>folder based upon previously allocated i.e.</u> already existing attributes of the <u>document</u>.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, the Board of Appeals is respectfully requested to reverse the Final Rejection and find that claims 1-14, and 21-26 are unobvious, and thus patentable under 35 USC 103(a) over Khan et al. (US6,640,038), in view of Kendall et al. (US2005/0193053) further in view of Burke (US6,032,162), and still Ferguson et al. (US6,810,414).

10/09/08

J. A. Kraft Applicants Régistration No. 19,226

(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: Diana R. Gerhardt IPLaw Dept. IBM Corporation 11400 Burnet Road Austin, Texas 78758

VIII. Claims Appendix

- 1 1. In a World Wide Web (Web) communication network with
- 2 user access via a plurality of data processor controlled
- 3 interactive receiving Web display stations for displaying,
- 4 in a standard display interface format, received hypertext
- 5 Web documents of at least one display page containing text
- 6 and images accessible from sources on the Web and hyperlinks
- 7 to other Web documents, a system for selectively customizing
- 8 the display interface format of Web documents bookmarked at
- 9 a receiving display station comprising:
- means for bookmarking a received Web document;
- means for predetermining at least one display interface
- 12 format alternate to said standard display interface format
- 13 for bookmarked Web documents;
- a document folder associated with each alternate
- 15 display interface format, said document folder providing
- 16 each Web document put into said folder with a set of
- 17 predetermined characteristics and values defining said
- 18 alternate display interface format;
- means, responsive to said bookmarking means, for
- 20 enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a
- 21 document folder associated with an alternate display
- 22 interface format; and
- 23 means for displaying bookmarked documents in said
- 24 document folder in said alternate display interface format.

- 1 2. The Web system of claim 1 further including:
- 2 means for further bookmarking a hyperlink in a
- 3 bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with
- 4 an alternate display interface format; and
- 5 means, responsive to said means for further
- 6 bookmarking, for putting the Web document accessed through
- 7 further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder
- 8 associated with said alternate display interface format,
- 9 wherein said further bookmarked Web document may also be
- 10 displayed in said same alternate display interface format.
 - 1 3. The Web system of claim 1 further comprising:
 - Web browsing means at said receiving display station
 - 3 including:
 - 4 said means for bookmarking a received Web document;
 - 5 said means for predetermining at least one display
 - 6 interface format alternate to said standard display
 - 7 interface format for bookmarked Web documents;
 - 8 said document folder associated with each alternate
- 9 display interface format;
- said means for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web
- 11 document into a document folder associated with an alternate
- 12 display interface format; and
- said means for displaying bookmarked documents in said
- 14 document folder in said alternate display interface format.

- 1 4. The Web system of claim 3 wherein said browsing means
- 2 further comprise:
- means for further bookmarking a hyperlink in a
- 4 bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with
- 5 an alternate display interface format; and
- 6 means, responsive to said means for further
- 7 bookmarking, for putting the Web document accessed through
- 8 further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder
- 9 associated with said alternate display interface format,
- 10 wherein said further bookmarked Web document may also be
- 11 displayed in said same alternate display interface format.
 - 1 5. The Web system of claim 1 wherein said means for
 - 2 predetermining provides a plurality of said alternate
 - 3 display interface formats; and
 - 4 further including a document folder associated with
 - 5 each of said alternate display interface formats.
 - 1 6. The Web system of claim 5 wherein said means for
 - 2 enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a
 - 3 document folder permits the user to put the bookmarked
- 4 document into a plurality of folders respectfully associated
- 5 with a plurality of alternate display interface formats.
- 1 7. The Web system of claim 5 wherein said means for
- 2 displaying simultaneously displays said plurality of Web
- 3 documents having said alternate formats in respective
- 4 separate windows.

- 1 8. In a World Wide Web (Web) communication network with
- 2 user access via a plurality of data processor controlled
- 3 interactive receiving Web display stations for displaying,
- 4 in a standard display interface format, received hypertext
- 5 Web documents of at least one display page containing text
- 6 and images accessible from sources on the Web and hyperlinks
- 7 to other Web documents, a method for selectively customizing
- 8 the display interface format of Web documents bookmarked at
- 9 a receiving display station comprising:
- 10 bookmarking a received Web document;
- 11 predetermining at least one display interface format
- 12 alternate to said standard display interface format for
- 13 bookmarked Web documents;
- providing a document folder associated with each
- 15 alternate display interface format, said document folder
- 16 providing each Web document put into said folder with a set
- 17 of predetermined characteristics and values defining said
- 18 alternate display interface format;
- enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a
- 20 document folder associated with an alternate display
- 21 interface format; and
- displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder
- 23 in said alternate display interface format.

- 1 9. The method of claim 8 further including the steps of:
- further, bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web
- 3 document in a document folder associated with an alternate
- 4 display interface format; and
- 5 putting the Web document accessed through further
- 6 bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated
- 7 with said alternate display interface format, wherein said
- 8 further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in
- 9 said same alternate display interface format.

- 1 10. The method of claim 8 further comprising: 2 a Web browsing process at said receiving display 3 station including said steps of: 4 bookmarking a received Web document; 5 predetermining at least one display interface 6 format alternate to said standard display interface 7 format for bookmarked Web documents; 8 providing a document folder associated with each 9 alternate display interface format; 10 enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document 11 into a document folder associated with an alternate
- displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.
 - 1 11. The Web method of claim 10 wherein said Web browsing 2 process further comprises said steps of:
- further bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and
- putting the Web document accessed through said further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated
- 8 with said alternate display interface format, wherein said
- 9 further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in
- 10 said same alternate display interface format.

display interface format; and

12

- 1 12. The method of claim 8 wherein said step of
- 2 predetermining provides a plurality of said alternate
- 3 display interface formats; and
- said step of providing respectively provides a document
- 5 folder associated with each of said alternate display
- 6 interface formats.
- 1 13. The method of claim 12 wherein said step of enabling a
- 2 user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder
- 3 permits the user to put the bookmarked document into a
- 4 plurality of folders respectfully associated with a
- 5 plurality of alternate display interface formats.
- 1 14. The method of claim 12 wherein said step of displaying
- 2 simultaneously displays said plurality of Web documents
- 3 having said alternate formats in respective separate
- 4 windows.

- 1 21. A computer readable medium having stored thereon, a
- 2 computer program for selectively customizing the display
- 3 interface format of Web documents bookmarked at a receiving
- 4 display station in a World Wide Web (Web) communication
- 5 network with user access via a plurality of data processor
- 6 controlled interactive receiving Web display stations for
- 7 displaying, in a standard display interface format, received
- 8 hypertext Web documents of at least one display page
- 9 containing text and images accessible from sources on the
- 10 Web and hyperlinks to other Web documents, wherein the
- 11 computer program when executed on a computer causes the
- 12 computer to:
- bookmark a received Web document;
- 14 predetermine at least one display interface format
- 15 alternate to said standard display interface format for
- 16 bookmarked Web documents;
- provide a document folder associated with each
- 18 alternate display interface format, said document folder
- 19 providing each Web document put into said folder with a set
- 20 of predetermined characteristics and values defining said
- 21 alternate display interface format;
- 22 enable a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a
- 23 document folder associated with an alternate display
- 24 interface format; and
- display bookmarked documents in said document folder in
- 26 said alternate display interface format.

- 1 22. The computer readable medium of claim 21, wherein said
- 2 computer program when executed causes the computer to:
- further bookmark a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web
- 4 document in a document folder associated with an alternate
- 5 display interface format; and
- 6 put the Web document accessed through further
- 7 bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated
- 8 with said alternate display interface format, wherein said
- 9 further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in
- 10 said same alternate display interface format.

- The computer readable medium of claim 21 wherein said 1 2 stored computer program includes a Web browser program at said receiving display station, wherein said browser program 3 when executed causes the computer to: 4 5 bookmark a received Web document; 6 predetermine at least one display interface format 7 alternate to said standard display interface format for 8 bookmarked Web documents; 9 provide a document folder associated with each 10 alternate display interface format; 11 enable a user to put a bookmarked Web document 12 into a document folder associated with an alternate 13 display interface format; and 14 display bookmarked documents in said document 15 folder in said alternate display interface format. 1 24. The computer readable medium of claim 23 wherein said 2 Web browser program when executed causes the computer to:
 - further bookmark a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web 3
 - 4 document in a document folder associated with an alternate
 - 5 display interface format; and
- б put the Web document accessed through said further
- 7 bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated
- 8 with said alternate display interface format, wherein said
- 9 further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in
- said same alternate display interface format. 10

- 1 25. The computer readable medium of claim 21 wherein the
- 2 computer program when executed:
- 3 provides a plurality of said alternate display
- 4 interface formats; and
- 5 provides a document folder associated with each of said
- 6 respective alternate display interface formats.
- 1 26. The computer readable medium of claim 25 wherein the
- 2 computer program when executed
- 1 simultaneously displays said plurality of Web documents
- 2 having said alternate formats in respective separate
- 3 windows.

IX. Evidence Appendix

There was no evidence presented in the prosecution of the present Application.

X. Related Proceedings Appendix

There are no proceedings related to the present proceedings.